

# 2024 LEGISLATIVE SCORECARD

Indiana Senate | 2024 Legislative Session

SENATE	Party	District	1 (SB17)	2 (SB202)	3 (HB1137)	4 (SB128)	% Support
Bray, Rodric*	R	37	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA
Alexander, Scott	R	26	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Baldwin, Scott	R	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Bohacek, Mike	R	8	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Brown, Liz	R	15	E	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Buchanan, Brian	R	7	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Buck, James	R	21	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Busch, Justin	R	16	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Byrne, Gary	R	47	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Charbonneau, Ed	R	5	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Crane, John	R	24	E	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Cridler, Michael	R	28	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Deery, Spencer	R	23	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Donato, Stacey	R	18	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Doriot, Blake	R	12	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Garten, Chris	R	45	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Gaskill, Mike	R	25	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Goode, Greg	R	38	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Holdman, Travis	R	19	E	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Johnson, Tyler	R	14	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Koch, Eric	R	44	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Leising, Jean	R	42	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Maxwell, Randy	R	43	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Messmer, Mark	R	48	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Mishler, Ryan	R	9	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Niemeyer, Rick	R	6	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Raatz, Jeff	R	27	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Rogers, Linda	R	11	E	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Tomes, James	R	49	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Walker, Greg	R	41	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Young, Michael	R	35	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Zay, Andy	R	17	✓	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Bassler, Eric	R	39	✓	✓	X	✓	75.00%
Carrasco, Cyndi	R	36	✓	✓	X	✓	75.00%
Dernulc, Dan	R	1	✓	✓	X	✓	75.00%
Freeman, Aaron	R	32	✓	✓	X	✓	75.00%
Glick, Susan	R	13	✓	✓	X	✓	75.00%
Walker, Kyle	R	31	✓	✓	X	✓	75.00%
Becker, Vaneta	R	50	✓	✓	X	X	50.00%
Ford, J.D.	D	29	✓	X	X	X	25.00%
Hunley, Andrea	D	46	✓	X	X	X	25.00%
Niezdowski, David	D	10	✓	X	X	X	25.00%
Pol, Rodney	D	4	✓	X	X	X	25.00%
Qaddoura, Fady	D	30	✓	X	X	X	25.00%
Randolph, Lonnie	D	2	✓	X	X	X	25.00%
Vinzant, David	D	3	✓	X	X	X	25.00%
Yoder, Shelli	D	40	✓	X	X	X	25.00%
Taylor, Greg	D	33	X	X	X	X	0.00%
Alting, Ron**	R	22	✓	E	E	E	N/A
Breaux, Jean**	D	34	E	E	E	E	N/A

## 1. PREVENTING MINORS FROM VIEWING HARMFUL MATERIALS ONLINE

**SB 17 by Rep. Joanna King (R-49)** prohibits minors from accessing adult-oriented websites containing harmful materials such as explicit sexual content (i.e. pornography). The bill requires these websites to verify the user's age using a mobile credential or third party verification service. However, if a minor does access an adult-oriented website, his parent or guardian may sue the website's operator. A successful lawsuit will result in injunctive relief, essentially prohibiting the operator from continuing to run the website. S.B. 17 is a great step towards eliminating the plague of online pornography. **IFI Supported** | Yes (✓) = 44 | No (X) = 1 | Excused (E) = 5 | Not Voting (NV) = 0.  
*Status: Signed by Governor*

## 2. PROTECTING FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS IN UNIVERSITIES

**SB 202 by Sen. Spencer Deery (R-23)** takes steps to protect the First Amendment rights of faculty, staff, and students in Indiana universities. Under this bill, faculty members who do not foster "a culture of free inquiry, free expression, and intellectual diversity" may be subject to disciplinary action by their institution's board of trustees. This mechanism will prevent professors from forcing political or ideological agendas onto their students, and will promote an open and honest learning environment. This bill also ensures that staff will be protected, as it prevents them from having to make a pledge that supports the institution's belief system or agenda, but which is not their own. This bill helps ensure that Indiana's institutions of higher education remain supportive of our First Amendment rights, and viewpoints are not weaponized by anyone with a political agenda. **IFI Supported** | Yes (✓) = 39 | No (X) = 9 | Excused (E) = 2 | Not Voting (NV) = 0.  
*Status: Signed by Governor*

## 3. RELIGIOUS INSTRUCTION FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL STUDENTS

**HB 1137 by Rep. Kendell Culp (R-16)** requires principals at public schools to allow students to attend religious classes during the school day, provided that the classes occur off school property, are privately funded, and are approved by a parent. These classes may amount to two hours each week, and middle and high school students can receive elective credit at the discretion of the school board. By allowing students the choice to attend religious classes, House Bill 1137 is giving parents the opportunity to exercise their First Amendment rights within all of Indiana's schools. **IFI Supported** | Yes (✓) = 32 | No (X) = 16 | Excused (E) = 2 | Not Voting (NV) = 0.  
*Status: Signed by Governor*

## 4. PUBLIC ACCESS TO SEXUAL EDUCATION CLASSROOM CURRICULUM

**SB 128 Sen. Gary Byrne (R-47)** would have ensured that any curriculum within a school that would be on the topic of human sexuality would have to be approved by the school board and also be published in a conspicuous place on the school's website so that parents would be able to see firsthand what the school would be instructing their children on in the way of human sexuality. This level of transparency would have given parents and the public a level of confidence that their schools were giving proper instruction, or an opportunity to hold bad actors to account for inappropriately indoctrinating vulnerable children. This bill passed the Senate, but never received a hearing in the House. **IFI Supported** | Yes (✓) = 38 | No (X) = 10 | Excused (E) = 2 | Not Voting (NV) = 0.  
*Status: Dead*

**To learn more about these bills and others please find our 2024 Legislative Agenda at [hoosierfamily.org](https://www.hoosierfamily.org).**

\*Many of IFI's priority bills died during the 2024 session. Since the Chamber leader is usually an integral part of making those decisions, Sen Bray isn't eligible for the 100% Club.  
\*\*Too few votes to score.

## PRIORITY BILLS WITHIN THE 2024 IFI LEGISLATIVE AGENDA THAT GOT A HEARING, BUT NOT A VOTE:

### ESTABLISHING PARENTAL RIGHTS AS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT

**SB 46 by Sen. Liz Brown (R-15)** would have established parental rights as a fundamental right in the state of Indiana, much like what exists within the US Constitution. Parents have the primary role to play in the care and protection of their children. The government should not be the one standing in the way of a parent and the well-being of their children. Ultimately, the bill would have put parents on equal footing with the government by giving parents the rights within Indiana that are also afforded to them by the US Constitution. In doing so, parents then have remedy against the government within the state when their rights have been violated rather than being forced into a federal court system, which is more costly and difficult to pursue for many Hoosier families.

### PROHIBITION OF "SOCIAL CREDIT SCORES" AGAINST CONSERVATIVES

**SB 28 by Sen. Jim Tomes (R-49)** would have stopped banks and financial institutions from creating a "social credit score" as a way to target conservative or Christian organizations and individuals. These "social credit scores" allow institutions to target people based on their beliefs and cause many banks - and similar institutions - to cancel accounts and subscriptions if the individuals dare to express their beliefs, or non-profits like IFI stand by their mission. IFI has experienced the cancellation of platform subscriptions firsthand due to the organization's mission.

## PRIORITY BILLS WITHIN THE 2024 IFI LEGISLATIVE AGENDA THAT DID NOT GET A HEARING:

### PREVENT RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION AGAINST ADOPTION AGENCIES, FOSTER PARENTS & ADOPTIVE PARENTS

**SB 193 by Sen. Tyler Johnson (R-19)** would have ensured that faith-based adoption agencies as well as foster parents and adoptive parents who have religious convictions could not be discriminated against on the basis of their religious beliefs. Keeping adoption agencies or potential parents from being able to exercise their First Amendment rights in Indiana because of their religious beliefs is clearly not good public policy and puts some Hoosier kids at risk of not finding stable, long-term homes.

Color Key	Chart Key
100% CLUB	✓ Voted with IFI's Position
FAMILY ADVOCATE	X Voted against IFI's Position
AVERAGE	NV Did Not Vote
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	E Excused Absence
HOSTILE	

# 2024 LEGISLATIVE SCORECARD

Indiana House of Representatives | 2024 Legislative Session

House	Party	District	1 (SB17)	2 (SB202)	3 (HB1137)	% Support
Huston, Todd*	R	37	NV	NV	NV	NA
Abbott, David	R	18	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Aylesworth, Mike	R	11	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Baird, Beau	R	44	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Barrett, Brad	R	56	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Bartels, Steve	R	74	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Behning, Robert	R	91	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Borders, Bruce	R	45	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Carbaugh, Martin	R	81	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Cherry, Robert	R	53	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Criswell, Cory	R	54	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Culp, Kendell	R	16	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Davis, Michelle	R	58	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
DeVon, Dale	R	5	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Engleman, Karen	R	70	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Genda, Mark	R	41	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Goodrich, Chuck	R	29	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Goss-Reaves, Lori	R	31	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Greene, Robb	R	47	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Haggard, Craig	R	57	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Heaton, Robert	R	46	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Heine, Dave	R	85	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Hostettler, Matt	R	64	✓	✓	E	100.00%
Jeter, Chris	R	88	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Jordan, Jack	R	17	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Judy, Chris	R	83	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Karickhoff, Michael	R	30	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
King, Joanna	R	49	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Lauer, Ryan	R	59	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Ledbetter, Cindy	R	75	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Lehman, Matt	R	79	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Lindauer, Shane	R	63	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Lucas, Jim	R	69	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Lyness, Randy	R	68	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Manning, Ethan	R	23	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
May, Chris	R	65	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Mayfield, Peggy	R	60	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
McGuire, Julie	R	93	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
McNamara, Wendy	R	76	✓	✓	E	100.00%
Meltzer, Jennifer	R	73	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Miller, Doug	R	48	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Morris, Robert	R	84	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Morrison, Alan	R	42	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Negele, Sharon	R	13	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
O'Brien, Timothy	R	78	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Olthoff, Julie	R	19	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Patterson, Lindsay	R	55	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Pierce, Kyle	R	61	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Prescott, J.D.	R	33	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Pressel, Jim	R	20	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Rowray, Elizabeth	R	35	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Schaibley, Donna	R	24	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Slager, Harold	R	15	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Smaltz, Ben	R	52	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Snow, Craig	R	22	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Soliday, Edmond	R	4	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Speedy, Mike	R	90	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Steuerwald, Gregory	R	40	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Sweet, Lorissa	R	50	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Teshka, Jake	R	7	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Thompson, Jeffrey	R	28	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Torr, Jerry	R	39	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
VanNatter, Heath	R	38	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Wesco, Timothy	R	21	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Zent, Dennis	R	51	✓	✓	E	100.00%
Zimmerman, Alex	R	67	✓	✓	✓	100.00%
Andrade, Mike	D	12	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Bartlett, John	D	95	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Bauer, Maureen	D	6	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Boy, Pat	D	9	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Campbell, Chris	D	26	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Errington, Sue	D	34	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Fleming, Rita	D	71	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Garcia Wilburn, Victoria	D	32	✓	X	✓	66.70%
GiaQuinta, Philip	D	80	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Gore, Mitch	D	89	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Hall, David	R	62	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Hamilton, Carey	D	87	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Harris Jr., Earl	D	2	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Hatfield, Ryan	D	77	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Klinker, Sheila	D	27	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Miller, Kyle	D	83	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Moseley, Chuck	D	10	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Pack, Renee	D	92	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Payne, Zach	R	66	X	✓	✓	66.70%
Pfaff, Tonya	D	43	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Porter, Gregory	D	96	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Pryor, Cherrish	D	94	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Smith, Vernon	D	14	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Summers, Vanessa	D	99	✓	X	✓	66.70%
Clere, Edward	R	72	X	✓	✓	33.30%
DeLaney, Edward	D	86	✓	X	X	33.30%
Dvorak, Ryan	D	8	✓	X	X	33.30%
Hatcher, Ragen	D	3	✓	X	X	33.30%
Moed, Justin	D	97	NV	X	✓	33.30%
Pierce, Matt	D	36	✓	X	X	33.30%
Cash, Becky**	R	25	E	X	✓	N/A
Jackson, Carolyn**	D	1	E	E	✓	N/A
Johnson, Blake**	D	100	E	E	✓	N/A
Shackleford, Robin**	D	98	E	X	✓	N/A

## 1. PREVENTING MINORS FROM VIEWING HARMFUL MATERIALS ONLINE

**SB 17 by Rep. Joanna King (R-49)** prohibits minors from accessing adult-oriented websites containing harmful materials such as explicit sexual content (i.e. pornography). The bill requires these websites to verify the user's age using a mobile credential or third-party verification service. However, if a minor does access an adult-oriented website, his parent or guardian may sue the website's operator. A successful lawsuit will result in injunctive relief, essentially prohibiting the operator from continuing to run the website. S.B. 17 is a great step towards eliminating the plague of online pornography. **IFI Supported** | Yes (✓) = 92 | No (X) = 2 | Excused (E) = 4 | Not Voting (NV) = 2.

Status: Signed by Governor

## 2. PROTECTING FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS IN UNIVERSITIES

**SB 202 by Sen. Spencer Deery (R-23)** takes steps to protect the First Amendment rights of faculty, staff, and students in Indiana universities. Under this bill, faculty members who do not foster "a culture of free inquiry, free expression, and intellectual diversity" may be subject to disciplinary action by their institution's board of trustees. This mechanism will prevent professors from forcing political or ideological agendas onto their students, and will promote an open and honest learning environment.

This bill also ensures that staff will be protected, as it prevents them from having to make a pledge that supports the institution's belief system or agenda. This bill helps ensure that Indiana's institutions of higher education remain supportive of our First Amendment rights, and viewpoints are not weaponized by anyone with a political agenda. **IFI Supported** | Yes (✓) = 66 | No (X) = 31 | Excused (E) = 2 | Not Voting (NV) = 1. Status: Signed by Governor

## 3. RELIGIOUS INSTRUCTION FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL STUDENTS

**HB 1137 by Rep. Kendell Culp (R-16)** requires principals at public schools to allow students to attend religious classes during the school day, provided that the classes occur off school property, are privately funded, and are approved by a parent. These classes may amount to two hours each week, and middle and high school students can receive elective credit at the discretion of the school board. By allowing students the choice to attend religious classes, House Bill 1137 is giving parents the opportunity to exercise their First Amendment rights within all of Indiana's schools. **IFI Supported** | Yes (✓) = 92 | No (X) = 4 | Excused (E) = 3 | Not Voting (NV) = 1. Status: Signed by Governor

Status: Signed by Governor

## PRIORITY BILLS WITHIN THE 2024 IFI LEGISLATIVE AGENDA THAT DID NOT GET A HEARING:

### FIXING CHINS STATUSES TO PROTECT GOOD PARENTS FROM BAD GOVERNMENT

**HB 1210 by Rep. Elizabeth Rowray (R-35)** would have protected children with mental health issues from being removed from loving families simply because the government doesn't agree with the faith or the beliefs of the parents. The bill would have added an extra layer of protection for parents by ensuring that they have remedy against a government worker who abuses their role within the government while ensuring that children who are in danger of bad situations are still able to receive the help they need and are removed from harmful situations.

### PROTECTING EMPLOYEES FROM EMPLOYER MANDATED VACCINES

**HB 1334 by Rep. Bruce Borders (R-45)** would have prohibited having a vaccination forced upon you by an employer. This legislation would have allowed for a choice to be had between you and your employer in matters of vaccinations by putting in place a fine for companies that fail to comply with these rules and go against the requests of the employee.

### SAFEGUARD AGAINST WOKE GENDER IDEOLOGY

**HB 1291 by Rep. Chris Judy (R-83)** would have updated Indiana's code to reflect that gender means biological sex - i.e. male or female. It would have protected current Indiana code by clearly establishing that "gender" is synonymous with "biological sex." Had the bill passed, it would have restored the original intent of the law.

### PROTECT KIDS FROM OBSCENE MATERIAL IN SCHOOLS

**HB 1221 Rep. Dave Abbott (R-18)** aimed to protect kids by ensuring the materials they could access through their school libraries could not contain sexually obscene content that could cause harm to children. The legislation would have classified it a crime for sexually obscene materials to be distributed to children if those materials had not been approved through a governing school body.

### BIOLOGICAL SEX RESPECTED FOR INMATE PLACEMENT

**HB 1406 by Rep. Peggy Mayfield (R-60)** would have halted the practice by some state detention facilities of placing inmates into a facility that does not serve their biological sex. Had this law passed, the prohibition of this practice would have applied to both adults and juveniles within the Department of Corrections.

To learn more about these bills and others please find our 2024 Legislative Agenda at [hoosierfamily.org](http://hoosierfamily.org).

Color Key	Chart Key	
100% CLUB	✓	Voted with IFI's Position
FAMILY ADVOCATE	×	Voted against IFI's Position
AVERAGE		
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	NV	Did Not Vote
HOSTILE	E	Excused Absence

\* House Speaker does not vote on all bills.

\*\* Too few votes to score.