

Legislator	Pty	Dist.	1. (HB 1389)	2. (HB 1408)	3. (HB 1035)	4. (HB 1038)	5. (SB 76)	6. (SB 88)	7. (SB 182)	8. (SB 236)	9. (SB 250)	% Support
Alexander, Scott	R	26	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Brown, Liz	R	15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Buck, Jim	R	21	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Carrasco, Cyndi	R	36	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Freeman, Aaron	R	32	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Gaskill, Mike	R	25	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Holdman, Travis	R	19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Johnson, Tyler	R	14	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Koch, Eric	R	44	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Raatz, Jeff	R	27	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Walker, Greg	R	41	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Young, Michael	R	35	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Baldwin, Scott	R	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Bray, Rodric	R	37	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Buchanan, Brian	R	7	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Byrne, Gary	R	47	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Charbonneau, Ed	R	5	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Clark, Brett	R	24	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Crider, Michael	R	28	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Deery, Spencer	R	23	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Dernulc, Dan	R	1	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Donato, Stacey	R	18	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Glick, Sue	R	13	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Goode, Greg	R	38	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Maxwell, Randy	R	43	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Mishler, Ryan	R	9	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Niemeyer, Rick	R	6	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Rogers, Linda	R	11	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Schmitt, Daryl	R	48	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Tomes, Jim	R	49	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	89%
Alting, Ron	R	22	NV	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	78%
Bohacek, Mike	R	8	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	78%
Busch, Justin	R	16	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	78%
Doriot, Blake	R	12	E	✓	✓	X	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	75%
Garten, Chris	R	45	NV	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	E	E	✓	71%
Bassler, Eric	R	39	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	X	67%
Leising, Jean	R	42	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	67%
Walker, Kyle	R	31	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	67%
Ford, J.D.	D	29	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	E	E	X	57%
Qaddoura, Fady	D	30	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	56%
Randolph, Lonnie	D	2	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	X	44%
Taylor, Greg	D	33	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	44%
Becker, Vaneta	R	50	✓	✓	NV	X	X	E	E	E	E	40%
Jackson, La Keisha	D	34	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	33%
Spencer, Mark	D	3	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	33%
Yoder, Shelli	D	40	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	33%
Pol, Rodney	D	4	NV	✓	✓	X	E	X	NV	X	X	25%
Hunley, Andrea	D	46	NV	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	22%
Niezegodski, David	D	10	✓	✓	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X	22%
McKinley, Nick*	R	17	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

2026 LEGISLATIVE SCORECARD

Indiana Senate | 2026 Legislative Session

1. RELIGIOUS LIBERTY FOR ADOPTION AND FOSTER CARE

HB 1389 by Rep. Shane Lindauer (R-63) prohibits the state from discriminating against adoption agencies, foster parents, foster care providers, or adoptive parents based on their religious beliefs. At a time when Indiana urgently needs more foster and adoptive homes, the bill removes discriminatory barriers that have pushed willing families and faith-based organizations out of the system—reducing options for children who need safe, loving, and permanent homes.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 44 | No (X) = 0 | Excused (E) = 1 | Not Voting (NV) = 5.

2. RESTRICTIONS ON SOCIAL MEDIA USE FOR MINORS

HB 1408 by Rep. Robert Behning (R-91) requires social media platforms to seek verifiable parental consent for adolescent users (under 16) to be account holders and defaults Indiana adolescents’ accounts into a highly restricted, parent-supervised version of the platform, with certain additive features disabled such as no targeted algorithms, limited messaging, no public discoverability, and built-in time controls. HB 1408 represents an important step forward in protecting children on social media.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 49 | No (X) = 1 | Excused (E) = 0 | Not Voting (NV) = 0.

3. PERMISSIBLE UNSUPERVISED ACTIVITY

HB 1035 by Rep. Jake Teshka (R-7) provides that a child is not a child in need of services solely because a parent allows the child to engage in an unsupervised, independent activity, including playing outside, riding their bike, or walking to school. House Bill 1035 makes clear that age-appropriate independence is not neglect, and that state intervention should be reserved for situations involving real danger or reckless disregard for a child’s safety. Parents are best positioned to decide what independent activity is appropriate for their children.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 48 | No (X) = 0 | Excused (E) = 0 | Not Voting (NV) = 2.

4. RELOCATION OF GAMBLING OPERATIONS

HB 1038 by Rep. Craig Snow (R-22) allows the riverboat casino located in the City of Rising Sun to relocate its gaming operations to a casino in either Allen, DeKalb, Steuben, or Wayne Counties. Expanding gambling throughout the state risks eroding families by increasing addiction, financial instability, and the stress and conflict that can weaken marriages and harm children.

IFI Opposed | Yes (✓) = 34 | No (X) = 16 | Excused (E) = 0 | Not Voting (NV) = 0.

5. IMMIGRATION MATTERS

SB 76 by Sen. Liz Brown (R-15) strengthens state and local cooperation with federal immigration authorities by requiring government bodies to honor federal immigration detainer requests and establishing enforcement mechanisms. The bill also bans knowingly hiring unauthorized workers, requires certain reporting on immigration-related data, and sets training and inspection requirements for county jails. This legislation is necessary because Indiana families feel the downstream effects of federal immigration failures.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 37 | No (X) = 11 | Excused (E) = 1 | Not Voting (NV) = 1.

6. SUCCESS SEQUENCE ADDED TO CURRICULUM

SB 88 by Sen. Gary Byrne (R-47) mandates that part of the mandatory public school curriculum include how to be a good citizen, specifically teaching the importance of obtaining a high school diploma and other training before entering the workforce, securing full-time employment, and waiting until marriage to begin having children (also known as the Success Sequence). Research shows that young adults who follow the success sequence have dramatically lower rates of poverty in adulthood.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 39 | No (X) = 9 | Excused (E) = 1 | Not Voting (NV) = 0.

PRIORITY BILLS WITHIN THE 2026 LEGISLATIVE AGENDA THAT ONLY PASSED THE SENATE:

7. SEX DEFINITION – BIRTH CERTIFICATES – MEN IN WOMEN PRISONS – BOYS IN GIRLS BATHROOMS

SB 182 by Sen. Liz Brown (R-15) would have defined the terms “sex,” “male,” “female,” and “gender” for the entire Indiana Code. It would have ensured that the sex designation on an individual’s birth certificate cannot be changed. This bill would have protected women in women’s prisons from having gender-confused men live with them. This bill also emphasized safety and privacy for girls and women in K-12 schools and colleges and universities from having to share restrooms, locker rooms, and housing facilities/overnight stays with boys and men.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 37 | No (X) = 8 | Excused (E) = 3 | Not Voting (NV) = 1.

8. ABORTION INDUCING DRUGS

SB 236 by Sen. Tyler Johnson (R-14) would have created civil remedies to address abortion pill trafficking in our state. The bill would have imposed liability on anyone who manufactures, distributes, prescribes, or provides an abortion-inducing drug that results in the wrongful death or injury of an unborn child or pregnant woman, and authorized the mother or father to bring a wrongful death claim for the death of an unborn child. This bill would have addressed the epidemic of abortion pills entering our state.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 35 | No (X) = 10 | Excused (E) = 3 | Not Voting (NV) = 1.

9. HEMP REGULATIONS

SB 250 by Sen. Aaron Freeman (R-32) would have closed the loophole that has allowed intoxicating products like delta-8 and similar compounds to be sold with minimal oversight and significantly tightened THC limits. It also would have created a comprehensive regulatory system for hemp-derived cannabinoid products in Indiana. The bill imposes strict age restrictions, location limits, advertising rules, and testing and labeling requirements, and treats products that do not comply as illegal marijuana under state law. While marketed as “hemp,” these products often contain concentrated THC compounds from the same cannabis plant as marijuana and can be equally or more intoxicating than traditional marijuana products. Overall, it would have restructured the hemp market to limit access to potent, largely unregulated THC products and place them under stronger state control.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 35 | No (X) = 13 | Excused (E) = 1 | Not Voting (NV) = 0.

To learn more about these bills and others, please find our 2026 Legislative Agenda at [hoosierfamily.org](https://www.hoosierfamily.org).

*Sen. McKinley was caucused in mid-session because Sen. Zay vacated his seat, so he was not present during a number of votes.

Color Key		Chart Key	
100% CLUB	✓		Voted with IFI's Position
FAMILY ADVOCATE	✗		Voted against IFI's Position
AVERAGE		NV	Did Not Vote
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT		E	Excused Absence
HOSTILE			

Legislator	Party	District	1. (HB 1389)	2. (HB 1408)	3. (HB 1035)	4. (HB 1038)	5. (SB 76)	6. (SB 88)	% Support
Abbott, David	R	18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Barrett, Brad	R	56	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Borders, Bruce	R	45	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Carbaugh, Martin	R	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Cash, Becky	R	25	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Criswell, Cory	R	54	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Culp, Kendell	R	16	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Davis, Michelle	R	58	✓	✓	✓	E	✓	✓	100%
DeVon, Dale	R	5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Goss-Reaves, Lori	R	31	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Greene, Robb	R	47	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Haggard, Craig	R	57	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Heine, Dave	R	85	✓	✓	E	✓	✓	✓	100%
Ireland, Andrew	R	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Jeter, Chris	R	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Jordan, Jack	R	17	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Judy, Chris	R	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
King, Joanna	R	49	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Lehman, Matt	R	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Lindauer, Shane	R	63	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Lucas, Jim	R	69	✓	✓	✓	E	✓	✓	100%
McGuire, Julie	R	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Miller, Doug	R	48	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Morris, Bob	R	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Patterson, Lindsay	R	55	✓	✓	✓	✓	E	✓	100%
Pierce, Kyle	R	36	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Prescott, J.D.	R	33	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Slager, Hal	R	15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Smith, Hunter	R	24	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Sweet, Lorissa	R	50	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Teshka, Jake	R	7	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Wesco, Tim	R	21	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Baird, Beau*	R	44	✓	E	✓	E	✓	✓	95%
Hostettler, Matt*	R	64	✓	E	✓	E	✓	✓	95%
Rowray, Elizabeth*	R	35	✓	✓	E	✓	E	✓	95%
Aylesworth, Michael	R	11	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Bartels, Stephen	R	74	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Bascom, Garrett	R	68	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Behning, Bob	R	91	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Commons, Matt	R	13	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Genda, Mark	R	41	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	83%
Hall, Dave	R	62	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Heaton, Bob	R	46	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Isa, Tony	R	51	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Lauer, Ryan	R	59	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Lawson, Ethan	R	53	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	83%
May, Chris	R	65	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
McNamara, Wendy	R	76	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Meltzer, Jennifer	R	73	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	83%
O'Brien, Tim	R	78	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Payne, Zach	R	66	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	83%
Pressel, Jim	R	20	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	83%
Shonkwiler, Alaina	R	29	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Smaltz, Ben	R	52	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Snow, Craig	R	22	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Soliday, Ed	R	4	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Steuerwald, Greg	R	40	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Thompson, Jeff	R	28	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
VanNatter, Heath	R	38	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Yocum, Tim	R	42	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Zimmerman, Alex	R	67	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83%
Engleman, Karen	R	70	✓	✓	✓	X	E	✓	80%
Ledbetter, Cindy	R	75	✓	✓	✓	X	E	✓	80%
Manning, Ethan	R	23	✓	✓	✓	X	E	✓	80%
Mayfield, Peggy	R	60	✓	✓	✓	X	E	✓	80%
Clere, Ed	R	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	67%
Lopez, Danny	R	39	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	67%
Karickhoff, Mike	R	30	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	NV	67%
Olthoff, Julie	R	19	✓	NV	✓	X	✓	✓	67%
Jackson, Carolyn	D	1	X	✓	✓	X	E	E	50%
Gore, Mitch	D	89	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	50%
Pierce, Matt	D	61	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	50%
Errington, Sue	D	34	X	✓	✓	X	E	X	40%
GiaQuinta, Phil	D	80	X	✓	✓	X	E	X	40%
Hatcher, Ragen	D	3	X	✓	✓	X	E	X	40%
Johnson, Blake	D	100	E	✓	✓	X	X	X	40%
Miller, Kyle	D	82	X	✓	✓	X	E	X	40%
Andrade, Mike	D	12	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Bartlett, John	D	95	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Bauer, Maureen	D	6	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Burton, Alex	D	77	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Dant Chesser, Wendy	D	71	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
DeLaney, Ed	D	86	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Garcia Wilburn, Victoria	D	32	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Hamilton, Carey	D	87	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Harris, Earl	D	2	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Klinker, Sheila	D	27	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Novak, Randy	D	9	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Pfaff, Tonya	D	43	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Porter, Gregory	D	96	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Pryor, Cherrish	D	94	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Shackleford, Robin	D	98	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Smith, Vernon	D	14	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Summers, Vanessa	D	99	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	33%
Dvorak, Ryan	D	8	X	✓	E	E	X	X	25%
Campbell, Chris	D	26	X	✓	E	X	X	X	20%
Moed, Justin	D	97	X	E	✓	X	X	X	20%
Moseley, Chuck	D	10	X	E	✓	X	X	X	20%
Pack, René	D	92	X	✓	E	X	X	X	20%
Huston, Todd**	R	37	NV	NV	NV	NV	✓	NV	N/A

2026 LEGISLATIVE SCORECARD

Indiana House of Representatives | 2026 Legislative Session

1. RELIGIOUS LIBERTY FOR ADOPTION AND FOSTER CARE

HB 1389 by Rep. Shane Lindauer (R-63) prohibits the state from discriminating against adoption agencies, foster parents, foster care providers, or adoptive parents based on their religious beliefs. At a time when Indiana urgently needs more foster and adoptive homes, the bill removes discriminatory barriers that have pushed willing families and faith-based organizations out of the system—reducing options for children who need safe, loving, and permanent homes.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 69 | No (X) = 29 | Excused (E) = 1 | Not Voting (NV) = 1.

2. RESTRICTIONS ON SOCIAL MEDIA USE FOR MINORS

HB 1408 by Rep. Robert Behning (R-91) requires social media platforms to seek verifiable parental consent for adolescent users (under 16) to be account holders and defaults Indiana adolescents’ accounts into a highly restricted, parent-supervised version of the platform, with certain addictive features disabled such as no targeted algorithms, limited messaging, no public discoverability, and built-in time controls. HB 1408 represents an important step forward in protecting children on social media.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 93 | No (X) = 0 | Excused (E) = 4 | Not Voting (NV) = 3.

3. PERMISSIBLE UNSUPERVISED ACTIVITY

HB 1035 by Rep. Jake Teshka (R-7) provides that a child is not a child in need of services solely because a parent allows the child to engage in an unsupervised, independent activity, including playing outside, riding their bike, or walking to school. House Bill 1035 makes clear that age-appropriate independence is not neglect, and that state intervention should be reserved for situations involving real danger or reckless disregard for a child’s safety. Parents are best positioned to decide what independent activity is appropriate for their children.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 93 | No (X) = 0 | Excused (E) = 5 | Not Voting (NV) = 2.

4. RELOCATION OF GAMBLING OPERATIONS

HB 1038 by Rep. Craig Snow (R-22) allows the riverboat casino located in the City of Rising Sun to relocate its gaming operations to a casino in either Allen, DeKalb, Steuben, or Wayne Counties. Expanding gambling throughout the state risks eroding families by increasing addiction, financial instability, and the stress and conflict that can weaken marriages and harm children.

IFI Opposed | Yes (✓) = 56 | No (X) = 37 | Excused (E) = 5 | Not Voting (NV) = 2.

5. IMMIGRATION MATTERS

SB 76 by Sen. Liz Brown (R-15) strengthens state and local cooperation with federal immigration authorities by requiring government bodies to honor federal immigration detainer requests and establishing enforcement mechanisms. The bill also bans knowingly hiring unauthorized workers, requires certain reporting on immigration-related data, and sets training and inspection requirements for county jails. This legislation is necessary because Indiana families feel the downstream effects of federal immigration failures.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 61 | No (X) = 28 | Excused (E) = 11 | Not Voting (NV) = 0.

6. SUCCESS SEQUENCE ADDED TO CURRICULUM

SB 88 by Sen. Gary Byrne (R-47) mandates that part of the mandatory public school curriculum include how to be a good citizen, specifically teaching the importance of obtaining a high school diploma and other training before entering the workforce, securing full-time employment, and waiting until marriage to begin having children (also known as the Success Sequence). Research shows that young adults who follow the success sequence have dramatically lower rates of poverty in adulthood.

IFI Supported | Yes (✓) = 67 | No (X) = 29 | Excused (E) = 1 | Not Voting (NV) = 3.

To learn more about these bills and others, please find our 2026 Legislative Agenda at [hoosierfamily.org](https://www.hoosierfamily.org).

* If more than one excused vote, a member can’t be in the 100% Club.

** House Speaker typically does not vote on all bills.

Color Key		Chart Key	
100% CLUB	✓	✓	Voted with IFI's Position
FAMILY ADVOCATE	×	×	Voted against IFI's Position
AVERAGE		NV	Did Not Vote
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT		E	Excused Absence
HOSTILE			